

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/886,828	06/21/2001	John Joseph Curro	7897R3	8066	
27752	7590 07/02/2004	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1112111001	ER & GAMBLE COM JAL PROPERTY DIVIS	BEFUMO, JE	BEFUMO, JENNA LEIGH		
	L TECHNICAL CENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1771		
CINCINNATI	, ОН 45224	DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/886,8	28	CURRO ET AL.				
		Examine	1	Art Unit				
		Jenna-Lei	gh Befumo	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	e cover sheet with th	ne correspondence add	ress			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory put to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the total precision of the provided by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. a reply within the state period will apply and w statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply butory minimum of thirty (30) ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS dication to become ABAND	be timely filed days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this con ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on :	10 June 2004.						
· <u> </u>		This action is n	ion-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 and 10-14 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 10-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from co						
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 May 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepte o the drawing(s) b orrection is requir	oe held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFF	` '			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applic ents have been rece e 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National S	stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summ		•			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date nal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/886,828

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 7, 2004 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 2. The Amendment submitted on May 7, 2004, has been entered. Claims 2-9 have been cancelled. Claims 1 and 10 have been amended and claims 11-14 have been added. Therefore, the pending claims are 1 and 10-14.
- 3. The amendment is sufficient to overcome the objections to the claims set forth in section 10 of the previous Office Action.
- 4. The amendments to the claims are sufficient to overcome the 35 USC 103 rejections based on Palumbo (WO 96/10979) and Benson et al. (5,628,096) since neither references discloses using other than a meltblown or elastic layer as the middle layer in the composite, which is now required by the claims.

Drawings

5. The drawings were received on May 7, 2004. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it

Application/Control Number: 09/886,828

Art Unit: 1771

pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 1 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 and 10 contain the limitation that the middle layer is "not a meltblown or elastic material". This limitation is considered to be new matter since the disclosure fails to teach explicitly excluding meltblown materials and elastic materials from the middle layer. While it is true that the disclosure teaches that the middle layer can be various types of materials including non-thermoplastic layers such as metal and paper, there is nothing in the disclosure that teaches that a meltblown layer and an elastic layer cannot be used as the middle layer. In fact the disclosure teaches that the middle layer can be an elastic material and that meltblown layers can be used in the composite material. Therefore, the disclosure does not teach the concept of not using a meltblown material or an elastic material as the middle layer. Therefore, claims 1 and 10 are rejected. Claims 11 - 14 are rejected due to their dependency on claims 1 and 10.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jenna-Leigh Befumo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1472. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:00 - 5:30).

Application/Control Number: 09/886,828

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (571) 272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jenna-Leigh Befumo

June 24, 2004